

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/663,272

Applicant(s)
Harrison et al

Examiner
F. Pierre VanderVegt

Group Art Unit
1816



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) F. Pierre VanderVegt

(3) Peter Bernstein

(2) Frank DiGiglio

(4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 8, 1998

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-36

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the modification of the restriction requirement of the National Stage application which originally grouped claims 1-29 and 36 as a compound and a method of assay separately from claims 30-35 as a second disclosed use of the compound drawn to a method of treatment. Applicant and Examiner agreed to modification of the restriction groups such that the compound claims (1 through 7 and 36) are now grouped with the method of treatment claims (30-35) and separately from the method of assay claims (8-29) as a separate use of the claimed compound. Also discussed that claims 19-29 are "Use" claims which apparently are drawn to the method of assay and do not appear to read upon the method of treatment, resulting in the Examiner's grouping of these claims with the clearly stated assay method claims 8-18.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.